State of California

Memorandum

To : All Commissioners and Advisory Committee Date : August 10, 1970

From: Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training

Subject: JOINT MEETING - COMMISSION AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Time: 9:30 a.m., Thursday

September 3, 1970

Place: Islandia Hotel

1441 Quivira Road, Mission Bay Park

San Diego, California 92109

AGENDA

1. P.O.S.T. Advisory Committee Report

2. Project Reports:

- a. Community-Police Relations Leadership Training Program
- b. Project S. T. A. R.

e S. Muchleisen

c. Open discussion - Commission and Advisory Committee

12 Noon - Commission and Advisory Committee adjourn to separate meetings

GENE S. MUEHLEISEN

Executive Officer

Memorandum

: All Commissioners

Date : August 10, 1970

From : Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training

Subject: COMMISSION MEETING

Time: 2 p.m. Wednesday, through 3 p.m., Thursday

September 2 - 3, 1970

Place: Islandia Hotel

1441 Quivira Road, Mission Bay Park

San Diego, California 92109

AGENDA

l. Call to Order

- 2. Introduction of Guests
- 3. Approval of Minutes
- 4. Financial Report
- 5. Approval of Courses
- 6. Legislative Report
- 7. Discussion of Section 1002(a)(4) of Regulations
- 8. Old Business:
 - a. Middle Management Course Classification County of San Bernardino
 - b. Administrative Certificate
 - c. Committee Appointments Legislative
- 9. New Business:
 - a. Defense Investigators Association Request
- 10. Date and Place of Next Meeting
- 11. Adjournment

GENE S. MUEHLEISEN

Executive Officer

State of California Department of Justice

COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

MINUTES

September 2 - 3, 1970 San Diego, California

The meeting was called to order at 10 a.m. by Chairman Ben Clark. A quorum was present.

Present:

BEN CLARK - Chairman LYELL C. CASH - Vice-Chairman JOHN FABBRI - Commissioner T. M. HEGGLAND - Commissioner R. A. HOUGHTON - Commissioner DAN KELSAY - Commissioner A. R. MC KENZIE - Commissioner R. A. SEARES - Commissioner

Also Present:

GENE S. MUEHLEISEN
GEORGE H. PUDDY
CARL R. BALL
EUGENE PEMBER
GERALD TOWNSEND
IMOGENE KAUFFMAN

- Executive Officer
- Assistant Executive Officer
- Senior Consultant
- Consultant
- Consultant
- Stenographer

Absent: .

E. R. STRATHMAN

O. J. HAWKINS

- Commissioner
- Representative of the Attorney General

Visitors:

P.O.S.T. ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS - Joint Meeting, September 3

RUBEN ALMANZAN

CHARLES GAIN NATHAN IANNONE

BERT RITCHEY
J. WINSTON SILVA

PAULA CARLTON

- Department of Water and Power,
Los Angeles

- Chief of Police, Oakland Police Dept.

- Coordinator, Police Science Fullerton Jr. College

- Attorney at Law, San Diego

- Criminal Justice Education and Training California Community Colleges

- Secretary to the Advisory Committee

Guests:

James Fisk

Tom Gitchoff
Ron Harmon
Larry Hoffart
Dave Junker
William Kolender
Gus Matzorkis
Don Reierson

John Rohrbough Ron Schutt Mike Sgobba Nate Trives

- University of California, Los Angeles
 Director, Community-Police Relations
 Leadership Training Program
- San Diego State College
- State Department of Finance
- Budget Analyst, Department of Justice
- San Diego Marshal's Office
- San Diego Police Department
- Consultant, University of California, L.A.
- Director of Training, San Diego Police Department; Co-coordinator, Community-Police Relations Leadership Training Program, S.D. State College
- Course Coordinator, San Diego State College
- . San Diego State College
- Inspector, San Diego Police Department
- Department of Police Science and Administration, Cal. State College, L.A.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION by Commissioner McKenzie, seconded by Commissioner Heggland, carried unanimously that the minutes of June 12 - 13 be approved as mailed.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Larry Hoffart, budget analyst from the Department of Justice fiscal office, gave the financial report as of July 1, 1970. This financial report is set forth as Attachment "A".

Because of the 6-30-70 balance in the Peace Officers' Training Fund, there was some discussion on the advisability of increasing reimbursement for the Basic Course. Commissioner Fabbri requested that the emphasis be applied to in-service training and Advanced Officers Training Courses. Commissioner Seares requested that the staff consider the various alternatives available, with priorities, to utilize the growing surplus of funds. Mr. Puddy explained that the surplus will level off as was shown in the Long-Range Budget Planning Chart presented at the June 12 commission meeting.

In this projection it was estimated that the accumulated surplus will begin to be utilized in the 1973-74 fiscal year. By 1975-76 fiscal year the reserve will be diminished to approximately \$5,500,000 from its high of \$9,000,000 in 1973. At this time adjustments in expenditures would have to be made

to bring revenues and expenditures into balance for continued solvent operations. If this action was not taken, it is estimated that the reserve would have been exhausted by 1977-78, and reduction in reimbursements would have to be made at that time.

At the June 12, 1970, commission meeting, the Commission voted to request the sponsor of A.B. 1589 to add an amendment to Section 13523 Penal Code to permit the Commission to reimburse periodically rather than annually. The amendment would also reduce the large reserve carried in the Peace Officer Training Fund. (The bill passed and is now law.) The following suggested amendment to Section 1015 of the Regulations was approved by the Commission to be placed on the December 11 hearing agenda.

"The Commission shall annually allocate and the State
Treasurer shall periodically pay from the Peace Officers'
Training Fund, at intervals specified by the Commission, to
each city, county, and district which has applied and qualified
for aid pursuant to this chapter an amount determined by the
Commission pursuant to standards set forth in its regulations.
The Commission shall grant aid only on a basis that is equally
proportionate among cities, counties, and districts."

D.C.I. REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST

At the June 12, 1970, commission meeting, a reimbursement plan for the Delinquency Control Institute was approved for a fixed sum -- Plan C. A request dated 8-7-70 from D.C.I. stated that revised costs made the existing reimbursement Plan A more satisfactory to police agencies, the Institute, and the University. It was recommended that Plan A be continued.

MOTION by Commissioner Fabbri, seconded by Commissioner Seares, carried unanimously that the previous commission action to reimburse for the Delinquency Control Institute under Plan C be rescinded, and the Institute be reimbursed under Plan A -- 50% salary and 50% living costs.

REIMBURSEMENT TO INSTITUTE ON PREVENTION OF CIVIL DISORDER

An amendment in the Aid to Local Government Budget was proposed to permit the expenditure of approximately \$30,000 for the expenses of training 300 training officers and police science coordinators and instructors in the subject of community relations. The staff costs for this project were financed with a \$20,000 grant from C.C.C.J. They have permitted the Commission to coordinate this program with the Community-Police Relations Leadership Training Program as both programs deal with the same subject matter. A single plan was proposed whereby all qualified participants receive actual transportation and living costs by contracting

with Asilomar and Francisco Torres Convention Center. This was necessitated by the fact that police science instructors and coordinators cannot be reimbursed under the existing laws except through contracts. This proposal was preferred to the original plan wherein reimbursement for peace officers only would be allowed. The reimbursement expense to the Peace Officer Training Fund for 300 peace officers will be approximately \$35,000.

MOTION by Commissioner Seares, seconded by Commissioner Heggland, carried unanimously to accept the proposed plan of contracting with Asilomar and Francisco Torres Convention Center to furnish room, meals and transportation for each participant in the Institute on Prevention of Civil Disorder.

STAFF SALARIES

At the June 12, 1970, meeting, it was requested that the staff undertake to gather data necessary to make recommendations to the appropriate members of the State Administration to upgrade the staff salaries. Mr. Puddy reported that sufficient time had not been allowed to research this item sufficiently to make a recommendation at this time. This material will be presented at the next regular commission meeting.

PROGRESS REPORT

The P.O.S.T. Progress Report and 1969-70 Allocation of the California Peace Officer Training Fund was presented, and is set forth as Attachment "B".

The Executive Officer stated that future statistics will include the more meaningful figure of man-hours of training rather than the single statistic of actual officers trained.

APPROVAL OF COURSES

The following courses were certified. A detailed resume chart covering hours, tuition, reimbursement plans and commission action has been retained in the file copy of the minutes.

Basic Course

Golden West College

Project Transition (Equivalency only)

Supervisory Course

Butte College

Middle Management Course

(Equivalency only) San Bernardino County Sheriff's Academy

Technical or Special Courses

California Disaster Office

Statewide Riot and Civil Disturbance Training

Cal-State L.A.

Television Instruction Techniques Institute

California Youth Authority

Juvenile Program Management Institute

Chapman College

Middle Management Seminar

Indiana University

Breathalyzer Technical Supervisors and Instructors

Course

Marin County Police-Community Relations Board

Community-Police Relations

San Diego Police Department

Violent Crimes Seminar

San Jose State College

Advanced Auto Theft Investigators Workshop

Sixth U.S. Army

Explosive Ordnance Disposal Control

Centro Obrero Social Vocational & Language School

Spanish Language Training Pgm.

University of California, Davis

Total Immersion Spanish

Specialized Law Enforcement Courses

California State Police Basic Officer Training School

Rio Hondo College Marshals Basic Course

San Diego County Marshals Training Academy

Continue Certification

College of Marin

Advanced Criminal Investigation

U. C. Santa Cruz

Executive Development Course

Reimbursement Change

Cal-State Long Beach

Middle Management Course Reimbursable - Plan B

U. S. C.

Human Development Clinics Reimbursable - Plan C (\$1,500/course)

COURSE CERTIFICATION DENIED

Azusa-Pacific College

V.A.S.C.A.R. Instructors Course

It was felt by the Commission that there is not a demonstrated need for this type of course and that it is the responsibility of the private vendor to supply suitable instruction in the use of this equipment.

Bahn-Fair Company

Physical Evidence Techniques

It was suggested that this unique course be redesigned, distinct from commercial association with products of the Bahn-Fair Company, and be made available to train instructors for the colleges, universities and law enforcement agencies.

Policy on Approval of Courses Presented by Private Concerns

It was the consensus of the Commission that each course presented by private concerns should be evaluated on an individual basis. Commissioner Houghton referred to experience of contractual arrangements with private industry and private individuals, and suggested that the staff advise the Commission in each case what the contractual limitations are and the possibilities of contracting for specifics, i.e., the Bahn-Fair Company's specifics of commercial interests versus alteristic interest in training. The Executive Officer suggested that expertise and talents of this nature be used in training seminars.

Los Angeles Police Department

Management Institute and
Management Seminar Series

To be recycled if developed to meet requirements of a Middle Management Seminar.

Police Research Associates Disaster Simulation Training

The factor that training was to be presented by Police Research Associates instead of Cal-State L.A. was a consideration. Also, it was the sense of the Commission that it should be presented by a certified academy, accredited college or university.

San Francisco Police Department Chinese Language Training
Program for Police Officers

It was the consensus of the Commission that this course does not meet the standards of "broad statewide application". The course will train only six officers of the San Francisco Police Department and does not involve other police agencies in the State. It is also a type of program which is subject to special funding by C.C.C.J. and other agencies of government. It was also felt that it would be more appropriate to hire Chinese-American police officers who speak Chinese rather than train six non-Chinese officers.

NARCOTICS AND DANGEROUS DRUGS COURSE

Commissioner Kelsay requested information on the schedule for U. S. Department of Justice Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs courses to be presented during 1970-71. He further requested:

- 1. That the P.O.S.T. staff work with the Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs to get the program put on in California, and,
- 2. If it is found that this will not be possible until July or August of 1971, that a reimbursement arrangement be made to make it possible to send a man to the Idaho presentation.

(At this point the meeting was continued until the next day at 9:30 a.m.)

On September 3rd at 9:30 a.m., the meeting was reconvened.

COMMISSION AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE JOINT MEETING

Chairman Clark welcomed the Advisory Committee and gave a brief background stating that the Committee became necessary as a result of requests to enlarge the Commission and get a wider representation to improve the quality and quantity of the communications between the Commission, various professional groups, and the public. A function of the Committee is to review the proposed changes in the Regulations and Specifications before presenting them to hearings. The Committee may also initiate ideas for changes in the Regulations or otherwise improve the operation of the P.O.S.T. program.

The immediate service the Advisory Committee is performing is to give general direction to several special projects the Commission has been directed to undertake: the Community-Police Relations Leadership Training Program and the Prevention of Civil Disorder Program (formerly titled Community Relations and Riot Prevention). Chairman Clark stated that it was felt the various groups represented on the Advisory Committee should be afforded the opportunity of relating to the Commission what they thought needed to be discussed as well as what the Commission would like to have related back to the various groups represented.

The specific objective of the joint meeting was to report to the Commission on the progress during the past year of the special programs under the general direction of the Advisory Committee.

Chief Gain stated that the wisdom of establishing the Advisory Committee has been validated. The wide representation of other fields of interest, the communication to and from these groups and the input from a whole variety of disciplines is being achieved.

The Executive Officer asked the Commission and Advisory Committee for their reaction to the addition of a young university student to the Advisory Committee. The idea was unanimously endorsed by the Commission and the Advisory Committee, and the staff was directed to seek out a person who holds an office in a student government organization of a college or university. This person would be considered the representative of young adults, the group which constitutes a large percentage of the population with whom law enforcement has contact. Names will be presented to the Chairman for consideration and appointment.

COMMUNITY-POLICE RELATIONS LEADERSHIP TRAINING PROGRAM

Mr. Puddy reported on the Community-Police Relations Leadership Training Program. He stated that in order to accomplish the implementation, a Request For Proposal was issued to eight qualified colleges. Among those were U.C.L.A., San Diego State College, and San Jose State. The Committee selected U.C.L.A. as a model to be applied generally to the other two programs. Details of Mr. Puddy's report are set forth in the Commission's files.

Mr. Fisk of U. C. L. A., former deputy chief of Los Angeles Police Department and one of the Nation's leading authorities on police-community relations, is in charge of the U. C. L. A. program. Mr. Fisk was accompanied by Mr. Matzorkis of Thompson-Ramo-Woolridge, an outstanding consultant in the field of human relations. Mr. Fisk and Mr. Matzorkis briefed the Commission and the Advisory Committee on course design, methodology and classroom techniques. It was emphasized that classroom experience will be minimal with emphasis on street experience in the various communities and with the various cultures of the community.

Mr. Fisk emphasized that part of the philosophy is an emphasis upon social order as part of a process which results from law. Methodology will identify the police as mobilizers to influence those who are responsible for achieving social order. Mr. Matzorkis discussed a very interesting technique used in the course section on youthful "counter-culture" which he said was typical of the methods used throughout the course. The technique included written "before and after" impressions by trainees; actual experiences with the group; detailed observation of the group; workshops with the group; observation and diagnostic skills to interpret accurately to permit valid implementation by police officers.

A separate detailed document of the Fisk-Matzorkis discussion has been prepared. It will be used as resource material in responding to various groups and individuals who make inquiry about the methodology of the course. A copy will also be filed with the minutes of this meeting.

Captain Reierson, co-director of the San Diego State Program, described some of the minor variations in the San Diego State Program versus the U.C.L.A. program. The principal difference is a more structured approach to skills required of the police-community relations manager to implement local programs.

A lengthy discussion followed regarding the importance of a valid evaluation process. Details of the highlights are recorded in the separate discussion document previously described. Chairman Clark directed the Advisory Committee "to structure a police-community relations evaluation process that would be valid."

LEGISLATIVE REPORT

Mr. Puddy presented the Legislative Report

Assembly Concurrent Resolution 55 - Priolo

This resolution requests the Commission to conduct a study of all categories of peace officers in Section 830 Penal Code, for the purpose of recommending minimum standards for the selection and training, and a means for implementing and maintaining the standards as appropriate. The Legislature has approved the resolution to request the Commission to undertake this study.

Mr. Puddy stated that both the Commission and the Advisory Committee had found that it was inappropriate for the Legislature to appropriate money from the Peace Officer Training Fund for this study since the law provides that it is the business of the Commission to do this. In keeping with the Commission's recommendation at the Monterey meeting, the author agreed to withdraw any appropriation and have the resolution request the Commission to conduct this study.



Assembly Bill 317 - Crown and Knox

Provides that the Legislature shall make available to the California Council on Criminal Justice whatever funds the State allocates to be added to available federal funds to provide local police officers and deputy sheriffs with safety equipment, including firearms, uniforms, leather goods, holsters, ammunition, "night stick", handcuffs, and foul weather garments.

Passed by the Legislature and signed by the Governor.

Assembly Bill 907 - Green

Held in Committee - Dead

This bill would have authorized local government to establish qualifications for the selection of police trainees for the purpose of developing a program wherein the trainees become qualified for entrance into the peace officer class upon achieving the age, education and training requirements.

Assembly Bill 1037 - Murphy

Killed in Senate Finance for this Session

This bill would have prohibited peace officers and certain other designated personnel now exempt from provisions of prohibiting the carrying of loaded firearms in public places, from carrying firearms after January 1, 1972, unless they have completed firearms training approved by the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training or are regularly employed and paid peace officers by agencies certified by the Commission providing satisfactory training in the use of firearms.

Assembly Bill 1055 - Ralph

This bill appropriates \$20,000 from the General Fund to the Attorney General for the purpose of carrying out a study of police-community relations as requested by H.R. 153, 1969.

It requires that the Attorney General report findings, conclusions and recommendations to the Legislature not later than the 5th Legislative Day of the 1972 Regular Session. Passed by the Legislature and signed by the Governor.

Assembly Bill 1166 - Unruh and McCarthy

Killed in Committee in May

This bill would have established the Police Officer Training Corps allowing the trustees of the California State Colleges to select four state college campuses in the region and two university campuses, and the Board of Governors of the California community colleges to select four junior college campuses to institute special programs for training prospective law enforcement personnel.

Assembly Bill 1589 - Hayes

One section of this bill provides that the Commission shall annually allocate and the State Treasurer shall periodically pay from the Peace Officer Training Fund, at intervals specified by the Commission, to each city, county and district which has applied and qualified for aid pursuant to this chapter an amount determined by the Commission pursuant to standards set forth in its Regulations. Other sections of the bill were sponsored by the Judicial Council and are designed to improve assessment collections. The bill has passed and been signed by the Governor.

Assembly Bill 1888 - Priolo

Killed in Committee at author's request - replaced by ACR 55.

Assembly Bill 2320 - Murphy

Killed in the Education Committee

This bill was to affect the penalty assessment provision of Section 42050 of the Vehicle Code whereby certain moneys deposited in the Driver Training Assessment Fund are deposited in the General Fund.

Senate Bill 695 - Dills, et al

Held in Committee - Dead

Senate Bill 695 would have provided for an annual appropriation from the State General Fund to provide bonus payments to holders of certificates issued by the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training.

Senate Bill 913 - Dymally

Sent to an interim committee - Dead for 1970

This bill would have provided that the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training prescribe qualifications for security officers and guards who protect private property of their employers.

Senate Bill 941 - Moscone and Dills

Held at Assembly Desk - No Action

Would have added Section 2, Chapter 5 of the Labor Code, beginning with Section 1980 to set working hours, conditions and compensation for over-time for police officers and deputy sheriffs.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 47 - Harmer

Requests the Joint Legislative Public Employees' Retirement Committee of the Legislature and the Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training to study and report no later than the 20th day of the 1971 legislative session the feasibility of a program of standardized retirement benefits for all law enforcement officers in the State and to include the most feasible method for the State to assume the employers' costs for such a program as a means of providing financial assistance to local law enforcement agencies. Passed by the Legislature. Hearings set by Committee in San Diego and Sacramento on December 4 and 11, 1970.

Mr. Puddy stated that while the responsibility of cooperating with P.E.R.S. in the conduct of this study was given to the Commission, there was no money appropriated. P.E.R.S. has agreed to provide most of the staff work and the Commission will provide technical resource information.

With the conclusion of the Legislative Report, Chairman Clark adjourned the joint portion of the meeting. He voiced approval of the benefits of the joint meeting with the Advisory Committee and thanked the Committee for providing the Commission with the expertise to take an in-depth look at the problems referred to them and for evaluating the steps being taken by the Commission.

DISCUSSION OF SECTION 1002(a) (4) OF REGULATIONS

In response to a request from the city of Paso Robles that a revision of Regulations Section 1002(a)(4) be presented to the Commission for a public hearing consideration, the Executive Officer submitted the following alternative wording regarding conviction of a crime which could have been

punished as a felony "....provided, however, that the Commission may waive this provision if the subject (a) was not convicted of a felony, and (b) is not otherwise ineligible for employment under Section 1002(a)(5)" (good moral character). Consideration of providing an appeal procedure within the Commission was also suggested.

There followed a lengthy discussion on the original intent by the Commission in the wording of this regulation at the time it was written into the Regulations. It was the consensus of the Commission that the original intent was to raise the standards of law enforcement in California by not permitting persons convicted of a crime which, up to the moment of sentencing, was a felony, and that this continues to be the intent of the Commission.

Commissioner Seares moved that the amendment as submitted by the Executive Officer be placed on the next public hearing agenda. The motion died for lack of a second.

ADMINISTRATIVE CERTIFICATES

At the June 12 commission meeting the proposal from the Police Chiefs' Association for administrative or executive certificates was discussed and rejected. Some specific suggestions of the requirements of such a certificate were made by the Commission to the Chiefs' Association. These were resubmitted to the committee. The Executive Officer stated that he had attempted on two occasions to meet with that committee, but had been advised by the Chiefs' Association that an independent meeting was preferrable. Contact has also been made with Wes Barrett, president of the Chiefs' Association, several members of the committee, and other police chiefs.

Commissioner Fabbri, who is on the Executive Committee of the Chiefs' Association, stated that at their last meeting it was indicated that this certificate would be restructured by their committee and resubmitted to the Commission at a future date. He suggested that the staff continue to keep open the channels with the Chiefs' Association regarding this certificate.

COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

Because it is frequently necessary for the staff to check with the Commission between meetings with reference to legislative matters, at the June 12 commission meeting it was requested that the Chairman appoint a Legislative Committee for this purpose. The Chairman appointed the following: Chairman Ben Clark and Commissioners Art McKenzie and John Fabbri.

At the August 24, 1968, meeting at Caribou, a Counseling Service Committee was appointed to give guidance and assistance to the Administrative Counseling Section on problems which might arise regarding procedure, counseling policy and recommendations. Commissioners Fabbri and

Strathman were re-appointed, and Commissioners Houghton and Cash were newly appointed; Commissioner Houghton was designated chairman.

NEW BUSINESS

The Personnel Investigators Manual

The Personnel Investigators Manual, a product of approximately three-years' work has been published, and copies were presented to the Commission. The Executive Officer recommended that the manual be supplemented with a series of personnel investigators training seminars -- one or two days in length. When the seminars are designed they will be submitted to the Commission for review and a request for certification. Seven hundred manuals have been printed; they will be registered by number and sent to all POST-participating jurisdictions, all State Training Directors, and other interested agencies.

Defense Investigators Association Request

The Executive Officer read correspondence from M. C. Preminger, President, Defense Investigators Association, in which he requested that the D. I. A. be included in the P.O.S.T. program. The responding correspondence from P.O.S.T. stated that inasmuch as they are not peace officers, they are not eligible to participate in the program; however, the staff recommended they be invited to attend the Investigators Course on a "space available basis" if they are full-time, public employees.

MOTION by Commissioner Seares, seconded by Commissioner McKenzie, carried unanimously that this matter be referred back to staff for more information as to the membership of the Defense Investigators Association and further research what exactly it is they are requesting (attendance to a school, employment standards, certificates, etc.).

Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners Request

In correspondence dated June 24, 1970, the Los Angeles Board of Police Commissioners requested that the present visual acuity standards be reduced from 20/70 uncorrected in the poorest eye to 20/100 in either or both eyes, correctable to 20/20 in one eye and 20/30 in the other eye.

The Executive Officer stated the staff recommendation would be to:

- 1. Establish the visual acuity requirement at no less than 20/100 uncorrected in each eye, corrected to no less than 20/30 in each eye, or
- 2. Remove all specific P.O.S.T. eyesight requirements and leave the matter up to local jurisdictions.

He stated that standards should permit an agency to take a look at the whole man, and if he has some unusual qualities that waivers be permitted to overcome some of the inflexible standards that prevent the hiring of otherwise highly talented people. Commissioner Houghton felt this could also be applied with the height requirement -- if all other factors are outstanding excepting the height requirement, the individual can still be hired for the outstanding qualifications.

MOTION by Commissioner Seares, seconded by Commissioner Cash, motion carried (6 aye, 1 nay), that an amendment to Specification 2 to change the visual acuity requirement from 20/70 to 20/100, correctable to 20/30 in each eye.

Chairman Clark directed the staff to go through the Regulations and remove the terminology "tax exempt", as federal law now stipulates that there is to be no tax exemption. Mr. Hoffart explained that a formal Attorney General Opinion had been requested on the tax exemption law, and it may be premature to rewrite any portions until this opinion is received. Action will be taken pending the opinion.

This being Commissioner Heggland's final commission meeting, he thanked the Commission and stated it had been a pleasure to have had the opportunity to work with such a highly qualified and totally dedicated group of men as make up the Commission and the staff of P.O.S.T. He was commended for his faithful service and contributions to the Commission.

DATE AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING

There will be a combination commission meeting and public hearing in Sacramento, on Friday, December 11, 1970.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3 o'clock.

Respectfully submitted,

Jene S. Muchleisen
GENE S. MUEHLEISEN
Executive Officer

Bepartment of Justice



COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

714 P Street, Room 1508 Sacramento, California 95814

> FINANCIAL REPORT July 1, 1970

REVENUE

Balance P.O.T.	F. 6-30 - 69		\$ 3,065,823
Revenue in Fisca	l Year 69-7	0	7,953,411
Supplemental Rev	venue in Fis	cal Year 68-69	987,469
		Total	\$12,006,703
Less 69-70 Fisca			
Expenditures a	nd Encumbr	ances	388, 159
		Balance as of 6-30-70	\$11,618,544
Claims Received Fiscal Year 69			3,713,000
		Available Total Cash Resources	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		as of 7-1-70	\$ 7,905,544
*	*	*	aje

SOURCE OF REVENUE

Miscellaneous Revenue

1968-69

1 700-0 7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Penalties on Traffic Violations Penalties on Felony Convictions	\$ 569,260 418,209	
Total Fr	om 1968-69	\$ 987,469
1969-70		
Penalties on Traffic Violations Penalties on Felony Convictions	\$4,865,942 3.087.433	

Total From 1969-70 \$ 7,953,412

37

Total Collections
for 1969-70

\$ 8,940,88

RONALD REAGAN

Department of Justice

THOMAS C. LYNCH ATTORNEY GENERAL



COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

714 P STREET, ROOM 1506 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

September 24, 1970

BULLETIN: 70-8

Subject: P.O.S.T. Progress Report and 1969-70 Allocation

of the California Peace Officer Training Fund

California's P.O.S.T. Program closed another successful year of operation at the end of the 1969-70 Fiscal Year marking the eleventh year of its cooperation with the State's law enforcement officers and departments.

PROGRESS

California colleges and local department operated training schools provided law enforcement officers with just over 2,500,000 man-hours or 312,500 man-days of Commission certified training during the past year.

The P.O.S.T. Program is entirely financed by assessments on criminal and traffic fines. It has never received any support from the State's General Fund. Reimbursements may be made to cover part of an officer's salary while he is undergoing training or for expenses of tuition, living costs and travel. The Peace Officer Training Fund, administered by the Commission, also pays for total costs of program administration including staff salaries and operating expenses.

The following table illustrates the status of the Program on June 30, 1970 when compared with the previous 12 month period:

	1968-69	1969-70	Number Increase	Percent Increase
Total Number of Courses Certified	195	257	62	31%
Total Number of Officers Trained	5,013	16,391	11,378	226%
Jurisdictions Receiving Reimbursements	279	314	35	12%
Total Amount of Reimbursements Paid	\$2,712,979	\$3,713,550	\$1,000,620	38%

The attached list identifies jurisdictions participating in this year's allocation and the amounts paid to them.

CHANGE IN REIMBURSEMENT LAW APPROVED

An amendment to Section 13523 of the Penal Code has been approved by the 1970 Legislature and the Governor in Assembly Bill 1589, Assemblyman Hayes. The amendment is designed to permit more frequent payments to local jurisdictions from the Peace Officer Training Fund. Semi-annual or quarterly payments are planned to begin after July 1, 1971 and will continue thereafter to participating jurisdictions.

MORE MANAGEMENT COURSES OFFERED

Over 400 middle managers and top executives completed courses designed to increase their skills in department management. This training will greatly enhance the effectiveness of P.O.S.T. administrative counselling services as authorized by Section 13513 of the Penal Code.

COMMUNITY-POLICE RELATIONS LEADERSHIP TRAINING PROGRAM - SCHEDULED TO BEGIN

Preparations have been completed to present three sessions of the course in each of three colleges (U.C.L.A., San Jose and San Diego State Colleges) during the 1970-71 school year. The Community-Police Relations Leadership Training Course is designed to train administrators for community-police relations programs in California police agencies. In addition,

a series of training seminars will be held during the coming year to provide training officers with coordinated manuals, course outlines and techniques to improve the teaching of community relations subjects in recruit and other in-service officer training programs.

SUMMARY

California's P.O.S.T. Program has continued to work toward its objective of assisting law enforcement officers and agencies to increase their professional growth. As chairman, I offer the Commission's thanks for the support consistently given to the Program in the past. With this level of support, your P.O.S.T. Program will continue to flourish in achievement of purpose.

BEN CLARK Chairman Plank

JURISDICTION RETMEMBERSHEET Mid.							INED	Tear	Toohnical	
o office for ton	-		Basic_	Supv.	Mgt.	Exec. Dvlpmt.	Adv. O.	and the second second	or Special	
55. Cotati 56. Covina 57. Crescent (58. Culver Ci 59. Cypress 60. Calaveras 61. Contra Cos	Co.	843.12 9,446.50 1,288.99 9,583.73 4,553.26 2,635.23 28,185.32	1 8 2 3 2 3 2 3	1 2 1 2 6	2 1 3 4		3 9	49 10 16	8 3 27	
62. Daly City 63. Davis 64. Delano 65. Del Rey 0: 66. Dixon 67. Dos Palos 68. Downey 69. Dunsmuir 70. Del Norte		5,164.78 5,135.45 3,227.56 1,398.68 1,279.95 507.40 9,183.34 1,458.00 2,939.28	9 4 2 1 10 2 4	1 1 3	2	1		69 20 5	2	
71. East Bay 1 72. El Cajon 73. El Centro 74. El Cerrito 75. El Monte 76. El Segundo 77. Escondido 78. Eureka 79. El Dorado	0	5,008.00 9,985.85 5,236.34 1,090.09 12,388.30 9,056.81 8,811.16 1,311.48 3,484.94	4 96 1 13 6 2 5	2 3 1 2	4 3	1 1 1	12	42 28 13	10 3 3 15 3 9	
80. Fairfax 81. Fairfield 82. Fillmore 83. Fontana 84. Fortuna 85. Foster Ci 86. Fountain 87. Fremont 88. Fresno 89. Fullerton 90. Fresno Co	Valley	183.36 4,482.04 34.00 1,136.00 941.32 2,916.59 2,924.75 9,599.01 18,521.31 12,502.88 5,411.16	2 1 4 2 9 30 13 11	3 1 1 3 9 2	1 1 2 1	2	5	19 6 40	1 5 1 3 1 1 2 10 3	
91. Galt 92. Gardena 93. Garden Gro 94. Gilroy 95. Glendale 96. Glendora 97. Gonzales 98. Grass Val. 99. Gridley 100. Gustine 101. Glenn Co.		507.20 4,444.44 13,907.71 2,313.36 15,648.12 4,408.86 528.40 1,488.00 1,348.00 485.00 418.60	1 3 14 3 15 3 1 2 2 1	4	6 1 1		4	20 10 77	2 5 34 1	
102. Half Moon 103. Hanford 104. Hawthorne	Bay	224.00 1,830.98 1,676.00	3 2	1 2				17		
			-2	_						

*		OFFICERS TRAINED									
: JURI	SDICTION	REIMBURSEMENT	Pomio	Cumu	Mid. Mgt.	Exec. Dvlpmt.	4d= 0	Tear Gas	Technical or Special		
			Dasic	Supv.	Mg C.	DATDWe.	Adv. 0.	uas I	Of Opecial		
	Alameda	9,801.56	10	1					4		
	Albany	1,263.00	3			1			,		
3.	Alhambra	7,896.00	9	4			l .		1		
4.	Anaheim	12,927.06	13	2	3		7	19	3		
5.	Anderson	788.00	ĺ					1			
6.	Antioch	3,388.00	1 4	ł					2		
7.	Arcadia	8,200.42	8		2	·	1		. 9		
8.	Arroyo Grande	512.20	ì	i							
9.	Arvin	774.00	ī								
10.	Atherton	1,077.60	. 2	1		ļ					
11.	Atwater	857.17	1	1	•						
12.	Auburn	552.00	1								
13.	Azusa	4,873.50	4	2	1			44	1.		
14.	Alameda Co.	37,598.88	39	3	·			183	17		
15.	Bakersfield	21,354.90	21	6	2				9		
16.	Baldwin Park	5,028.10	4	3] .	1 .		45	2		
17.	Barstow	3,767.60	3	1	2	1			/ 1 ·		
18.	Bell	1,063.96	1			:		. 34	,		
19.	Belmont	3,084.40	4] 1			23	1		
20.	Benicia	3,037.06	4		1			٠.	4		
21.	Berkeley	27,392.00	41	8		2		1	3.		
22.	Beverly Hills	12,627.45	10	2	3		•	. 51	2 :		
23.	Biggs	520.00	1			1					
24.	Blythe	1,466.70	1	2		ł			1		
25.	Brawley	2,679.80	3						1		
	Brea	2,295.43			1		2	23	4		
27.	Brentwood	843.00	1			1		1	1,		
28.	Brisbane	242.50		1 1] ,,	10		
29.	Buena Park	9,830.27	9	1 5		1	4	10	12		
30. 31.	Burbank Burlingame	13,114.96	10		İ	1		30	3		
32.	Butte Co.	1,316.38 1,637.84	4 2			İ)	36		
		ļ									
33.	Calistoga	1,404.00	4		1						
34.	Capitola	566.24		1			1				
35.	Carlsbad	3,391.20	4		!			15	1		
36.	Carmel	1,133.74	1	2			4	-	1 1		
37. 38.	Carpinteria Ceres	1,350.85		,					1		
39.	Chico	205.00	7	1		1	1		,		
40.	Chino	4,199.45 3,611.55		1	1	1		2	. l		
41.	Chowchilla	468.20		,	1 -	1	1	~	. 7		
42.	Chula Vista	10,157.84	3 1 6	ł	2	1	18	1	4		
43.	Claremont	4,596.54	3		_ ~	ĺ		2	12		
44.	Cloverdale	765.28	3 2	İ	İ	1		8			
45.	Coachella	95.00		İ			j		1		
46.	Coalinga	1,055.56	1	2		1					
47.	Colton	5,599.82	5	1	2	1		23	2		
48.	Compton	29,224.65	32	6					3 6		
49.	Concord	5,118.29	3	.]	4	-			6		
	Corning	498.90	1	1	1				1		
51.	Corona	3,680.60	3	3			4		2		
52 .	Coronado	4,259.72	4	_	1			1	4		
53.	Corte Madera	1,658.21	2	1	_	1 .		10	1		
54.	Costa Mesa	11,215.78	11	4	9	1	ŀ	1	1 1		

		, .		•		FICERS TRA	INED	m	
JURISD	ICTION	REIMBURSEMENT	Basic	Supv.	Mid. Mgt.	Exec. Dvlpmt.	Adv. O.	Tear Gas	Technicsl . or Special
© :	Hayward Hemet	8,939.40 2,185.20	9	2	2			2	6
107. 108. 109.	Hermosa Beach Hillsborough Hollister	8,353.67 1,825.66 559.93	5 2 1	1	5	. 4		22 16	1 1
110. 111. 112. 113.	Holtville Huntington Beach Huntington Park Humboldt Co.	399.00 11,357.56 3,993.53 11,748.14	7 4 10	2	7		3	118 44 67	12 12
114. 115. 116. 117.	Imperial Beach Indio Inglewood Irwindale	2,260.00 1,867.00 7,490.26 653.12	2 2 6	2	1	2		5 11	2 4 4
118. 119. 120. 121.	Kensington King City Kern Co. Kings Co.	56.80 1,201.50 20,415.99 808.80	2 21 2	13				7 17	1 1 15
122. 123. 124.	Laguna Beach La Habra Lakeport	4,890.78 5,827.08 1,025.72	3 5 2	1	. 1	·	4	12 17	3
125. 126. 127.	La Mesa La Palma Larkspur La Verne Lemoore	8,159.96 1,220.42 1,748.16 915.08 1,072.80	8 1 2 1	1		1		30 6 11 2	2 1 2 1
130. 131. 132. 133.	Lindsay Live Oak Livermore Lodi	872.40 1,129.00 1,439.96 1,148.85	2 1 1		2		,	24	2
134. 135. 136. 137. 138.	Lompoc Long Beach Los Alamitos Los Angeles Los Banos	4,421.56 52,682.86 2,720.34 665,161.76 1,540.67	59 2 662 2	8	3 5 1 17		5 793	62	3 21 2 8
139. 140. 141. 142.	Los Gatos Lynwood Lake County Lassen County	1,654.76 5,516.60 1,149.52 1,068.41	2 5 1 1	1	1	1	_	45 38	1
145.	Los Angeles Co. Madera Manhattan Beach	616,863.30 1,319.60 4,786.23	492 2 3	133 4	75 2	29	245	1,512 25	260
147. 148.	Manteca Martinez Marysville Maywood	457.20 1,790.00 524.80 330.32	2 3 1 2 1					18	
150. 151.	Menlo Park Merced Millbrae Mill Valley	6,543.11 5,345.90 1,477.64	9 7 2	3 1	1			26 18	3 1
154. 155.	Modesto Monrovia Montclair	317.56 8,818.53 10,019.80 8,036.99	12 11 7	2 2	2	2	1	14	1 15

						FICERS TRA	INED	* .	
JURIS	DICTION	REIMBURSEMENT	Basic	Supv.	Mid. Mgt.	Exec. Dvlpmt.	Adv. 0.	Tear Gas	Technicăl or Special
		0.250			- B	2.12		53	
157. 158.	Montebello Monterey	8,379.44 9,341.28	8 9	2 4	1		31) 22	
159.	Monterey Park	11,094.00	10	1				50	2
160. 161.	Morro Bay Mount Shasta	129.60 522.25	1			·			,1
162.	Mountain View	7,972.41	1 9	2		. 2	,		2 .
163. 164.	Madera County Marin County	1,943.40 9,064.14	10	4				69	2
165.	Mariposa County	548.00	1					52	
166. 167.	Mendocino County Merced County	852.60 3,480.88	7	2			<u> </u>	53	
168.	Modoc County	592.42	1 2						
169. 170.	Mono County Monterey County	1,196.87	13		2		!	128	4
171.			6				8		48
172.	Napa National City	5,922.93 1,116.00	1				°		40
173. 174.	Needles Newark	3,050.80 654.44	4	7				18	
175.	Newport Beach	17,446.22	13	. 5	5	1		85	7
176. 177.	Novato Napa County	1,324.88 1,771.60		2	1			23	1
178.	Nevada County	832.00	1						
179.	Oakland	95,861.49	88					203	15
180.	Oceanside	5,905.32	6	_		ļ		52	1
181. 182.	Ojai Ontacio	1,218.40	4						. 🔛
183.	Orange	25,026.43	21		3		4		13 2
184. 185.	Orland Oroville	678.84 879.00	1 1			<u> </u>			
186. 187.	Oxnard Orange County	5,594.26	23	2 7	3 2	}	20	54	2 3 18
	•	22,373.04	(2)	, '	<i>ا</i>		20)4	10
188. 189.	Pacifica Pacific Grove	605.92 856.14					7		4
190.	Palm Springs	5,048.51	5	ᆲ	1		'		2
191. 192.	Palo Alto Palos Verdes	14,713.28 864.00	10	1	1] 1		35	16
193.	Pasadena	18,947.92	10	3	6	1		183	2
194. 195.	Patterson Perris	48.72 624.00	1					3	
196. 197.	Petaluma Piedmont	2,120.63 537.60	2	3	1				2
198.	Pinole	3,301.00	4	2					
199. 200.	Pismo Beach Pittsburg	661.06 3,552.00	1 4	1				}	2
201.	Placentia	3,744.37	5] -					2 3
202. 203.	Pleasant Hill Pleasanton	783.00 952.14	1			1	· ·		3
204.	Pomona	4,308.81	1	2				103	3 26
205. 206.	Porterville Port Hueneme	665.04 1,978.94	1 2	! 1				16	
207.	Placer County	5,097.36	6						
208.	Plumas County	940.50	1			}			
		1	!	!			1	i	1 ,
•				-4-					

JRISI	DICTION	REIMBURSEMENT		•	Mid.	FICERS TRA Exec.		Tear	Technical
		•	Basic	Supv.	Mgt.	Dvlpmt.	Adv. O.	Cas	or Special
<u>0</u> 9.	Red Bluff	664.28			1		. 1		1
	Redding	3,900.21	4		1		-		3
11.	Redlands	6,861.38	4	2				. 48	. 4
12.	Redondo Beach	96.00	,			• ,	•		1
13.	Redwood City	6,272.50	6	2			:	64	6
14.	Reedley	1,678.80	4	_				60	
15. 16.	Rialto	1,664.50	1 70	ͳ	7	, :		28 78) 10 T
17.	Richmond Ridgecrest	20,281.73 2,977.63	18		1	1		/8	29
18.	Rio Dell	302.40	,		1		}		· ·
19.	Ripon	876.80	,		.				. 7
20.	Riverbank	1,498.78	2					4	2
21.	Riverside	11,969.66	11	ונ	2		16	-	. ~
22.	Rocklin	251.34		1			2.	3.	-
23.	Rohnert Park	199.25	İ						2
24.	Roseville	3,388.56	4			· 1			-
25.	Riverside County	28,222.60	25	7			. 17		2
26.	Sacramento	92,466.08	48	10	1		855	15	10
27.	Salinas	3,579.48	3 2	1				73.	1
28.	San Anselmo	2,130.32		긔		v	: .	16	5
29.	San Bernardino	21,829.31	28	ᅥ	2	-			17
30.	San Bruno	2,124.08	2		_			33] 3
31. 32.	San Carlos San Clemente	3,428.92	5		1		20	23	1
33.	San Diego	2,993.93	700				. 22	7	3
رر. ا	San Fernando	184,307.44 3,699.50	178 3		, !	,	309	104	58
	San Francisco	210,295.89	110		1 1	1	748		25
36 .	San Gabriel	2,531.32	2				1.40	42	47
37.	Sanger	1,548.00	3	2				4~	Т.
38.	San Jose	30,165.23	23	13	2			2	36
39.	San Leandro	11,079.59	8	2	3	1		- 38	12
40.	San Luis Obispo	7,284.50	. 6	3					
41.	San Marino	2,654.47	1	1					6
42.	San Mateo	7,718.52	10	_ [95	3
43. 44.	San Pablo San Rafael	1,995.00	2	긔					
45.	Santa Ana	5,304.58 33,102.76	6 38		,			48	15
46.	Santa Barbara	12,119.00	20	ļ	4		9	73	5
47.·	Santa Clara	5,704.64	4	, l				90	7
48.	Santa Cruz	2,971.38	4	1		-	,	90.	3
49.	Santa Maria	2,800.16	4	2					_
50.	Santa Monica	17,676.40	18	8					2
51.	Santa Paula	6,251.85	6	1				22	Ž
52.	Santa Rosa	2,484.78	4			,		29	
53.	Sausalito	578.56	[· !	٠.	15	6
54. 55.	Seal Beach	4,406.40	4	lį	3 1.		3		3
i6.	Seaside Sebastopol	6,675.51	6	3	1		36		
7.	Selma Selma	263 . 02	2	ما	ì		,	5	2
8.	Shafter	1,053.20 92.00	~	2				-	
9.	Sierra Madre	3,106.40	2	ا,	۱ ،	1			2 2
	Signal Hill	2,705.92	2		1 2	1		23	
1.	Sonoma	1,713.68	3	1	~			5	
52.	Sonora	772.00	3 1	il	ļ		٠.		1
3.	South Gate	7,743.72	7	<u>_</u>	3			78	
				- 1]	

	,				OFI	FICERS TRA	AINED	*	± 9 *
JURISI	DICTION	REIMBURSEMENT	.	G	Mid.	Exec.	-	Tear	Technical
			Basic	Supv.	Mgt.	Dvlpmt.	Adv. O.	<u>Cas</u>	or Special
264.	South Lake Tahoe	4,081.55	2	2	3			33	3
265.	South Pasadena	4,208.00	5	2				39	
266.	So. San Francisco	5,642.98 4,814.61	5	2		1		1	
267. 268.	Stanton Stockton	11,952.03	19	4				34	8
269.	Suisun City	1,536.80	2	2			,		
270.	Sunnyvale	13,505.55	24						3
271.	Sacramento Count		41	8	3	ļ	260	36	25
272.	San Benito Co.	1,393,20	3	,,	70	į ·		385	2
273.	San Bernardino C		49 56	11 16	10			65	50
274. 275.	San Diego County San Joaquin Co.	52,973.18 22,751.30	32	6	2		,	208	10
276.	San Luis Obispo	7,025.82	6					3	4
277.	San Mateo County		20] 1		<u> </u>	,		3
278.	Santa Barbara Co		23					70/	4
279.	Santa Clara Co.	30,593.80	39	6	2	Į		106 79	3
280.	Santa Cruz Co.	5,390.85 1,799.00	3 2	 	2			17	7
281. 282.	Shasta County Solano County	3,114.40	4	-	1	İ	ļ		
283.	Sonoma County	14,897.68	23	9	_		1	89	3
284.	Stanislaus Co.	11,729.20	13	6	•		11	.46	
285.	Sutter County	2,573.88	3	l.		•		10	2
201		20 120 15	1 72	j ,i	2		6	80	13
286. 287.	Torrance Tracy	20,130.15	13		2			80	2.
288.	Tulare	2,848.10	4		•				2 2
289.	Turlock	4,255.89	4	2	•		16	14	
290.	Tustin	533.30		1 1	1 2		1		
291.	Tehama County	1,308.37	1 8	1	2	,	2	1 -	
292.	Tulare County	4,017.08	8	1			1	26	
293.	Ukiah	379.92				1		21	
294.	Union City	2,233.60	2 7	j					5 7
295.	Upland	6,779.50	7		1				7
296.	Vacaville	876.00	,	-					
297.	Vallejo	5,714.74	ĺ	6	ı	1 .		56	12
298.	Ventura	19,091.89	12 3 5		10	1		1	. 6
299.	Vernon	3,703.60	3	3					2
300.	Visalia	2,204.98	5	10	. -		22	٠.	9
301.	Ventura County	61,390.79	59	19	5		32		7
302.	Walnut Creek	6,770.34	5	2	2	2			3 4
303.	Wasco	1,793.56	5 2 2 12					6	4
304.	Watsonville	1,250.07	2			,	-	69	E
305. 306.	West Covina Westminster	14,290.42 15,820.32	19	2	1	1		52	
307.	Wheatland	490.00			. 4	1		/~	
308.	Whittier	6,484.64	5	1				77	3
309.	Willits	598.40	1					7	1
310.	Winters	713.18		[1		25	-
311.	Woodland	1,611.30			•			27	
312.	Yreka	100.00]					1
313.	Yuba City	957.86	1						3
314.	Yuba County	2,794.00	4					1	
	TOTAL	\$3,713,546.53	3473	<u>575</u>	<u>303</u>	72	<u>3545</u>	6989	1432
	•		<u> </u>	. ==		=	, =		===
				-6					

Bepartment of Justice

THOMAS C. LYNCH
ATTORNEY GENERAL



COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

714 P STREET, ROOM 1506 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

. November 30, 1970

BULLETIN 70-10

Subject: Supplemental to P.O.S.T. 1969-70 Allocation of the California Peace Officer Training Fund

Attached is a list of cities and counties which submitted claims that were not received in time for inclusion in the initial payments in the 1969-70 Fiscal Year allocation from the Peace Officer Training Fund.

With the addition of these claims the following totals have been effected:

	Total Allocation	Basic	Supv.	MM	T. Gas	Tech. or Special
Supplemental	\$3,713,546.53 158,758.64	3473 <u>5</u>	575 327	303 <u>91</u>	6989 1014	1432 446
TOTALS	\$3,872,305.17	3478	902	394	8003	1878

Participating jurisdictions which may have overlooked submitting claims for any training completed in the 1969-70 Fiscal Year ending June 30, 1970 are urged to submit such claims no later than December 31, 1970.

BEN CLARK Chairman

1969-70 F. Y. SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOCATIONS

						OFFI(CERS	TRAIN	ED
JURI	SDICTION	ALLOCATION +	SUPPLEMENTAL CLAIMS	= ADJUSTED = TOTAL	BASIC	SUPV.	MM	T. GAS	*TECH. OR SPECIAL
.1.	Arcadia	8,200.42	1,410.92	9,611.34			- 1	66	
2.	Bakersfield	21,354.90	473.60	21,828.50		2			
3. 4. 5.	Carpinteria Compton Contra Costa Co '' '' '' '' '' '' '' '' '' '' '' '' '' ''		137.88 2,238.02 278.16 295.40 372.16 321.16 352.76 350.16 299.92	1,488.73 31,462.67				7 111 12 13 16 13 14 14 14	
6.	" " " Coronado	28,185.32 4,259.72	304.44 352.28	30,759.48 4,612.00	·			14 19	
7•	Downey	9,183.34	34.64	9,217.98				2	,
8. 9.	East Bay Reg. El Cajon	5,008.00 9,958.85	301.04 47.44 19.99	5,309.04 10,026.28	÷.			14 2 1	
12.	Garden Grove Gardena "" "" "" Glendale	13,907.71 4,444.44 15,648.12	79.38 78.72 133.72 93.52 141.52 184.36 38.36 69.28	13,987.09 5,114.64 15,717.40				3647926	1 GC
13.	Hollister	559•93	110.84	670.77			*	7	
14. 15. 16.	Imperial Beach Indio Inglewood	2,260.00 1,867.00 7,490.26	309.84 488.04 234.75 277.54	2,569.84 2,355.04 8,002.55				14 25 9 11	
17. 18. 19.	Lassen Co. Long Beach Los Angeles """ """ """ """ """ """	1,068.41 52,682.86 665,161.76	40.92 273.00 13,759.00 277.60 5,460.22 64,475.70 7,168.50 27,171.06	1,109.33 52,955.86 783,473.84		1 48 1 240	16 75	3	354 PCS

*TECH. OR SPECIAL COURSES

GC = Governor's Conference PCS = Project Cable Splicer

CPR = Community Police Relations

PMI = Police Marksmanship Institute

JOI = Juvenile Officers Institute

PTOS = Police Training Officers Seminar

FET = Field Evidence Technician

3 - - =

· •

		1969-70				OFFICERS TRAINED					
JUR	ISDICTION	ATT OCA DITOM	+ SUPPLEMENTAL CLAIMS	= ADJUSTED TOTAL	BASIC	SUPV.	• MM	T. GAS	TECH OR SPEC		
20.	Los Angeles Co. """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""		5,543.04 1,395.24 1,214.04 348.80 1,303.76 1,393.00 973.48 1,970.32	631,004.98				77 67 14 51 56 39 86	86 CPR		
21.	Menlo Park Modesto "'	6,543.11 8,818.53	263.50 206.05 300.45 158.35	6,806.61 9,483.38		1		11 16 8	,		
23. 24. 25.	Oakdale Orland Oxnard	0 678.84 5,594.26	58.32 88.08 779.32	58.32 766.92 6,373.58	. 1			6			
26. 27.	Pacifica Paso Robles " "	605 . 92 0	520 . 97 509 . 80 188 . 60	1,126.89 698.40	1	1		25			
28.	Ripon	876.80	86.40	963.20				5			
29. 30. 31.	San Bern. Co. San Bruno San Diego	56,977.27 2,124.08	102.40 924.00 469.64 7,733.88	57,079.67 3,048.08	2			18	1 💭		
32. 33. 34. 35.	San Diego Co. Santa Monica Signal Hill Siskiyou Co.	184,307.44 52,973.18 17,676.40 2,705.92	7,755.00 158.40 243.00 589.00 79.50 115.00 348.40 516.90 403.08	192,669.36 53,216.18 18,265.40 2,785.42	1	30 1 2			l JOI l GC l PTOS l FET		
36.	So. Pasadena	4,208.00	652.16	4,860.16	;]			26 31			
37•	Torrance	20,130.15	37•49 74•98	20,242.62				2 4			
38.	Union City	2,233.60	. 383.05	2,616.65				18			
39•	Winters	713.18	67.56	780.74				5	* *		
40.	Yreka	100.00	129.84	229.84				8	·		
	TOTALS 1969-70 F. A	Y. Allocation Adjusted Total	158,758.64 <u>3,713,546.53</u> \$ <u>3,872,305.17</u>		5 347 3 3478	327 <u>575</u> 902	91 1 <u>303</u> 6 394 8	1014 6 <u>989</u> 3003	446 1432 1878		

Bepartment of Justice





COMMISSION ON PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING

714 P STREET, ROOM 1506
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
November 9, 1970

NOTICE OF HEARING

At the request of many jurisdictions and other interested organizations, the Commission is recommending an amendment to the Regulations which would stipulate that reimbursements to cities and counties be made quarterly instead of annually, the addition of a new Specification - "Seminars", and an amendment to Specification 2 to lower the visual acuity requirement. To effect these changes in the Regulations and Specifications, it is necessary to conduct an open hearing as required by Section 13523 of the Penal Code.

HEARING WILL BE HELD ON FRIDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1970 10 A.M., LOBBY AUDITORIUM OFFICE BUILDING NO. 8 714 P STREET, SACRAMENTO

All interested persons are cordially invited to attend the hearing. The proposed changes are generally considered noncontroversial and are designed to more equitably defray the cost of participation in some of the Commission's reimbursable courses. The proposed changes have also been submitted to the following organizations who often speak on behalf of agencies and individuals making up their membership:

League of California Cities
County Supervisors Association of California
California Peace Officers Association
California Police Chiefs Association
California State Sheriffs Association
Peace Officers Research Association of California
Police Educators Association of California
and others.

Letters may be sent to the Commission headquarters in lieu of personal presentation at the hearing. All written communications will be entered in the record and will be carefully considered by the Commission. A statement may be presented orally at the hearing. If possible, the oral statement given should be reduced to writing and given to the recording secretary to insure accuracy.

BEN CLARK Chairman

PROPOSED CHANGES IN REGULATIONS

To Become Effective January 1, 1971

(Underscored are new or revised)

1005 MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR TRAINING

Added

(h) SEMINARS

Seminars are designed to study and solve current and future problems encountered by middle managers and executives. Seminar techniques shall take into consideration the size, location and needs of cities and counties.

Seminars are optional and are reimbursable as specified in Specification 11 B. No seminar shall be certified that is of less than 18 hours duration.

1015 REIMBURSEMENTS

(a) Proportionate Reimbursement

Reimbursements to cities, counties, and-cities and-eeunties, and districts shall be granted by the Commission in accordance with Section 13523 Penal Code, which is quoted as follows:

Amended

The Commission shall annually allocate and the State Treasurer shall periodically pay from the Peace Officers' Training Fund, at intervals specified by the Commission, to each city, county, and eity and county, and district which has applied and qualified for aid pursuant to this chapter an amount determined by the Commission pursuant to standards set forth in its regulations. The Commission shall grant aid only on a basis that is equally proportionate among cities, counties, and eities and counties, and districts."

"In no event shall any allocation be made to any city, county, or district which is not adhering to the standards established by the Commission as applicable to such city, county, or district."

PROPOSED REVISED SPECIFICATION 2

To Become Effective January 1, 1971

Subject: PHYSICAL EXAMINATION

This requirement supplements Section 1002 (a) (7) of the Regulations. It is in keeping with the concept that in order to render proper service to his community, a California peace officer must be mentally alert, physically sound and free from any physical defect or mental or emotional instability which might adversely affect his performance of duty. His personal safety and the safety and lives of others may be endangered if he lacks these qualifications.

REQUIREMENTS:

1. Medical Examination

The medical examination shall be given by a licensed physician and surgeon.

2. Medical History

Each applicant must supply to the examining physician a statement of the applicant's medical history of past and present diseases, injuries or operations.

3. Vision and Hearing

The applicant shall possess normal hearing, normal color vision and visual functions as determined by the appointing authority. Each eye must be free of any abnormal condition or disease which in the opinion of the appointing authority might adversely affect performance of duty. He must possess visual acuity of not less than 20/70 20/100 vision in each eye without correction and corrected to no less than 20/30 in each eye.

Amended

4. Physician's Findings and Record

The physician shall record his findings on appropriate forms and shall note thereon, for evaluation by the appointing authority, any past or present physical defects, diseases, injuries, operations, or any evidence or indications of mental disease or emotional instability. The completed form shall be retained by the local jurisdiction.

PROPOSED SPECIFICATION 13

To Become Effective January 1, 1971

New

Subject: SEMINARS

This specification supplements Section 1005 (h) of the Regulations.

New

MIDDLE MANAGEMENT SEMINARS

Middle Management Seminars are designed to study and solve current and future anticipated problems which are encountered at the middle management level, and which are of concern to local jurisdictions. Problem solving techniques shall take into consideration the size, location, and needs of the various cities and counties. Each Middle Management Seminar shall be no less than 18 hours in duration.

Added (Formerly included in Spec. 6)

EXECUTIVE DEVELOPMENT SEMINARS

Executive Development Seminars are designed to study and solve current and future anticipated problems of concern to local jurisdictions. Problem solving methods and techniques shall take into consideration the size, location, and needs of the various cities and counties. Each Executive Development Seminar shall be no less than 18 hours in duration.

New

LIST OF GRADUATES

Course administrators shall submit to the Commission a list of names of the peace officers who have successfully completed any seminar.

Commission Meeting 12 o'clock Noon, December 10, 1970 Host of Sacramento Airport Hotel Metropolitan Airport, Sacramento

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Introduction of Guests
- 3. Approval of Minutes
- 4. Assemblyman Wm. Ketchum -- City of Paso Robles
- 5. Reports
 - a. Financial
 - b. Legislative
 - c. Administrative Counseling
 - d. E & T Certification of Courses
 - e. Personnel Standards
 - (1) San Diego P.D. Background Investigation
 - (2) A. G. 's Opinion -- Citizenship
 - (3) Decertifications -- Folsom & Williams
 - f. Grants Pending

ψ÷

- (1) Project S. T. A. R.
- (2) Administrative Counseling
- (3) POST-PAR
- (4) Western Center for Police Management

Hearing
Lobby Auditorium
Office Building No. 8
714 P Street, Sacramento

- 6. Commission action on Hearing Items
- 7. Old/New Business
 - a. Resolution National Commission on L. E. Standards
 - b. Council on Higher Education Proposal
 - c. New POST Quarters
 - d. Aprisony Committee STUDENT MEMBER SELECTION
- 8. Election of Officers
- 9. Adjourn